

Services Select	Go Projects Select	Go	
Reading & Reference	→ Maps & Data	Multimedia & Education	News, Training & Events
<u>s</u>	- S		Jorum - Share openly, or just with UK education 02 February 2011 CLOCKSS Appoints New Executive Director
Article References	Geo-data Portal	Film, Images & Sound	27 January 2011
+ CAB Abstracts	♦ Go-Geo!	 Mediahub (working title) 	Jorum e-Review - Featured Resources and Service News
 Land Life Leisure 	Maps & Datasets	 Education Image Gallery 	26 January 2011
Journal Catalogues	Digimap - Ordnance Survey	Film & Sound Online	all news
♦ SUNCAT (UK) ■ ²	 Geology Digimap 	NewsFilm Online	Quarterly Newsletter
 SALSER (Scottish) - 	 Historic Digimap 	Learning Materials	What EDINA Does:
E-books	Marine Digimap	+ Jorum User	Community Report (pdf)
The Statistical Accounts	UKBORDERS	Deposit Learning Materials	Follow us 🔚 🐼 💽 🛃 🗃
of Scotland	Agricultural Census Data	Jorum Contributor	Presentations and Publications
Deposit Academic Papers	 agcensus 		Ways to contribute
 OpenDepot.org	Web Services		online
E-Journal Preservation	Digimap OpenStream 4		
UK LOCKSS Alliance	Unlock		Ensuring continuous access
CLOCKSS	Deposit Data		
	ShareGeo Open 🖉		Our projects







Emergence of Digital Library: Information Science Michael Buckland, Presidential Address, American Society for Information Science, JASIS's 50th (1998) 2 traditions/mentalities co-exist in Information Science

- **1. Document tradition**: signifying record-ness
- 2. Computational tradition: various uses of formal techniques
 - * non-convergent mentalities working to build the 'digital library' a)modernisation of library services

ED1NA®

b)infrastructure to access complex databases

document tradition & computation tradition

Emergence of Digital Library: Information Science "considerable simplification, ... helpful to think ... of two traditions, or mentalities, even cultures, co-exist in area of Information Science

- 1. "Approaches based on a concern with documents, with signifying records: archives, bibliography, documentation, librarianship, records management, and the like
- 1. "approaches based on uses for formal techniques, whether mechanical (such as punch cards and data-processing equipment) or mathematical (as in algorithmic procedures)."

Michael Buckland (UC Berkeley), Presidential Address, American Society for Information Science, JASIS's 50th (1998) http://people.ischool.berkeley.edu/~buckland/asis62.html

ED1NA®

Semantics of 'Open Repositories & Interoperability'

R is for Repository

- "university-based institutional repository is a set of services ... for the management and dissemination of digital materials created by the institution & its community members. ... organizational commitment to the stewardship of these digital materials, including long-term preservation where appropriate, as well as organization and access ..." (C. Lynch, 2003)
- Digital repository differs from other digital collections in that:
 - * "content is deposited, whether by content creator, owner or third party
 - * "architecture manages content as well as metadata
 - * "repository offers a minimum set of basic services
 - * "must be sustainable & trusted, well-supported & well-managed"
 - Digital Repositories Review (R.Heery and S.Anderson, 2005)

O is for Open

- OA (for publications) not the only 'open' policy:
 - * OER: Open Educational Resources
 - Open means 'not closed': making teaching & learning materials visible - Open CourseWare - often as open stack of webpages
 - * Open Data
 - Datasets tradition (IASSIST); 'open/privilege access to databases; open data.gov
 - * Open Source Software ED1NA[®]
 - OSS has its own way of doing things



Interoperability Strategies; Interoperability Challenges Whose strategy, and towards what purpose? - 'within & for the research & education sector'? Or beyond? - for the institution, the UK, EU, global anybody; for the researcher? - for the machine as user ["Provider/Consumer"] Interoperability as technical [& semantic] means to support interworking by persons or systems has challenges - policy/technology/infrastructure/management/metadata Internet engineering & semantic web * Repositories and Linked Data Beyond PUT, KEEP and GET of the singular Repository Connecting Repositories with - NOTIFY & EXCHANGE; TRANSFER of objects or metadata only * So that the content of the object (or its metadata) may be re-used Linking & Identifiers Really Matter! - and Registries have a key role as authorities and cross-walks ED1NA®

Maybe can we agree our shared & central task...

to ensure ease & continuity of access to (online/digital) scholarly resources

 $\ast\,$ for researchers, students and their teachers, now and into the future

My perspective

- In a University-based organisation (EDINA) that is a provider of content services & infrastructure services within national (UK) policy framework
 - number of content services based on use of repository software
 * Eprints, DSpace, IntraLibrary
 - number of infrastructure activities
 - * OpenDepot.org, OA Repository Junction; OpenURL Router
 - member of SONEX and indirectly of COAR and UK-CORR
- Later focus on on repository-related progress in the UK; where is the value, how this is assessed/expressed?
 - Size of investment in recent times; cost-effectiveness and 'impact'
 - Effort at institutional & inter/national level and the 'shared services' agenda?

ED1NA®

Nostalgia for Days of Plenty as we worry about the future

JISC as well-funded agent for change

- JISC Repositories & Preservation Programme April 2006; March 2009 "£14m investment in H.E. repository and digital content infrastructure"
- A drive to assist institutions, including JISC RepositoryNet?
 - Repository Support Project; Repository Research Project
 - Intute Repository Search; 'interim repository' | the Depot | OpenDepot.org
- Services/Tools like OpenDOAR; Romeo; SWORD; OARJ
- Check the JISC website
 - <u>http://www.jisc.ac.uk/whatwedo/programmes/inf11/sue2.aspx</u>
 - under the heading of 'key digital repository activities' are 21 funding programmes and 226 funded projects.
- & then there were many meetings, including a new 'regular' street event:
- RepoFringe2010: Repository Fringe 2/3 September, Edinburgh

ED1NA®

SONEX



Day Job: as provider of services/tools & user of software

- EDINA and Edinburgh University Data Library run repositories, with and without JISC
 - Jorum: for learning materials [with Mimas]
 * OER and turnstile (UK); using DSpace
 - OpenDepot (the Depot): for research papers
 * OA (world); using Eprints
 - OA (Wolid), using Epinics
 - ShareGeo: for geo-spatial data
 * Open Data and turnstile (UK); using DSpace
 - **DataShare**: for research data (institutional, U of Ed)
 - * Open Data; using DSpace
 - OA Repository Junction as shared service tool
 - * using own code and Eprints as an 'escrow' repository during the transfer process.
- All seek to be 'standards-based' , reducing need to be mediated



	DSpace as open repository software
•	Open Architecture: supports flexibility
•	Active international development community
•	Developer Community provides software add-on and pool of experience e.g. creating de-referenceable URIs (RDF/XML) for all in metadata store, to support both registry function and also engage with semantic web
•	Implications for Jorum: self-deposit of learning materials – Particular requirements, as per Jorum Roadmap, based upon: * mediated ingest for multiple items (RSS for metadata; OAI-PMH for objects in IntraLibrary)
	* unmediated deposit (Selenium & SWORD/OARJ) in test-bed development
•	cost of ownership, as per JISC OSS Watch:
	" important that when procuring open source software solutions you also plan to properly resource collaboration work"
	 need to embed developments back into DSpace codebase:
	* enables others to use, maintain and develop the new features

- $\,^*\,$ ensures that your extensions are in main code
- * increases the return on investment by making them available to all

ED1NA®

se Search Manage Deposits Abo	ut FAQ Contact			L
			Atom S RSS 1.0	RSS 2
OpenDepot.org is an assured service	e to make research Open Access	 now available for researchers 	s worldwide.	
	Deposit He	re		
If your university, college or research	h organisation has an online rep	ository, we can help you find i	t and direct you t	o it.
Are you based at EDINA? There are 2 repos	itories known to exist at EDINA.			
Are you based at Science and Technology	Facilities Council? There is a repositor	y known to exist at Science and Tech	nology Facilities Cou	ıncil.
Are you based at University of Edinburgh	There are <u>3 repositories</u> known to exis	st at University of Edinburgh.		
If you wish to name a particular organisati	on, please use the following input box:			
[HCI]				
User Interfac	e for Unmediated Unmediated Depo	•	outing	
	Acknowledgements.			-









So now we have now shown a basic transfer from the broker to our own local repositories (Eprints and DSpace) - we need to test this on external servers. In the next few days/weeks we will be contacting our project partners with a test package to try and deposit in their own test environment. Once we are happy this works the next step will be to work together to configure the target repositories to accept a SWORD transfer.





OARJ Demonstrator with NPG

Est. Number Of Articles For Transfer During Six Month P-----

nstitutional partner	Articles in all NPG journals	Articles in participating NPG journals	author participation rate of 50%
Cambridge	1429	476	237
Oxford	962	321	160
Jniv M**	499	166	83
Jniv C**	275	92	46
Jniv Y**	248	83	41
Edinburgh	194	65	32
Auckland	53	17	8
FOTAL	3660	1220	607

during Jan - June 2010, as recorded in PubMed Central and ISI Web of Knowledge. **Still to be confirmed as a participating institutions ED1NA®

http://www.rsp.ac.uk/start/software-survey/results-2010/

RSP (Nottingham) Repository Software Survey, Nov. 2010

Registered in OpenDOAR		OAI- PMH	SWORD	SWAP	RDF	OAI- ORE	RSS	Atom	Other
301	EPrints	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	
690	DSpace	Ŷ	Ŷ	Ŷ	Y?	0	Ŷ	Ŷ	REST, SRU/SRW, OpenSearch WebDay
	Islandora Drupal/ Fedora	0	3rd P	0	Y	0	Y	Y	SRU/SRW
17	Fedora	0	Y	0	Y	0			
4	EQUELLA Repos	Y	Y	0	0	Y	Y	Y	
	Zentity	Y	Y		Y/RDFS		Y	Y	
16 Hosted	Open Repository	Y	Y	Y			Y		
	Intra Library	Y	Y				Y		SRU/SRW
15	DigiTool	Y	(Y)						Z39.50
81 Hosted	Digital Commons	Y					Y		
18	CONTENT dm	Y							Z39.50

Y = Yes; O = Optional; (,,) = future/pending; ? = I think it's there

Re-stating our shared task, to (re-)include data:

To ensure ease & continuing access to record of scholarship – research publications <u>and</u>research data

Consider at least three types of (research) data:

- A. Supplementary data
 - multimedia files: part of the published article that presents research argument and conclusions
 - * more than linear text, limited tabular and graphical display
 - enhances user experience with various multimedia objects
- B. Research dataset(s) upon which conclusions based
 - check analysis of those data to support statements made
- c. Database(s) from which datasets were assembled
 - for reproducibility (exposure to refutation) and new work via alternative analysis and updates to the database(s)

ED1NA°

Have JISC [programme managers] moved on?

- "Dealing with institutional processes now, rather than repository technology. Depending on type of content, the projects would fit much more closely in:
 - managing research data programme
 - research information programme
 - · open educational resources programme

as they have much more in common with those projects than they do with each other."

- "repositories have found their core business proposition via the REF and making sure Universities list research outputs to obtain research ratings
- have not succeeded in making the business case that IRs should be doing the job of archiving, a core library platform, or the job of an institutional demonstrator/poster space.
- Repositories fit in the 'University Enterprise Stack' by virtue of being a system that delivers a business solution to a real financial problem."

Citation, then linking

- Citation of database(s) (Type C data)
- for reproducibility (exposure to refutation)
- to prompt new work via alternative analysis and updates to the database(s)
- to credit those who curate the data needed for scholarship
- Citation of the datasets used (Type B data)
 - verification of analysis, that the figures and conclusions accurately reflect those data

Plus hyperlink to the dataset from the published article

- ... and back again *from* the dataset to the published article
- + Links to presentations, blogs, websites, funders etc related to the same research activity and same researcher(s) (Type D data?)

ed**1**na°

ED1NA

Standards to cite data (A long running saga)

- There is no universal standard for citing data and computer files, but ...
- Dodd, Sue. (1979) "Bibliographic references for numeric social science data files: Suggested guidelines." Journal of the American Society for Information Science, 30 (2), 77-82.
 - ISO 690: 1987 Bibliographic references Content, form and structure
- Dodd, Sue. (1990) "Bibliographic References for Computer Files in the Social Science: A Discussion Paper." Chapel Hill, NC: Institute for Research in Social Science, University of North Carolina. presented to IASSIST 1990 Poughkeepsie, N.Y. http://www.people.virginia.edu/~pm9k/info/compRef.html
 - ISO 690-2: 1997 Bibliographic references, Part 2: Electronic documents
- Schneider, Jeri. (2006) "Why we need a data citation standard: Lessons learned from compiling ICPSR's Bibliography of Data-Related Literature." ICPSR Bulletin, 26 (2), 9-12. http://www.icpsr.umich.edu/org/publications/bulletin/spr06.pdf

ED1NA°

ED1NA®



Linked Data ... Is this shared understanding? A note from Tim Berners Lee now in circulation proposes 4 steps: 1. Use URIs as names for things 2. Use http URIs so that people [& computers?] can look up those names 3. When someone looks up a URI, provide useful information using the standards (RDF, SPARQL) 4. Include links to other URIs, so that they can discover more things.

may become the principles/rules/definition of 'Linked Data'







Ease and Continuity of Access to Data in Difficult Times End of an era? End of the R word? 1. Embedded in domain-specific processes, but with wider context a) Engage, connect and get leverage from Internet Engineering W3C and the commercial/retail world b) Linkage and Identifiers really, really matter in m2m world 2. Moving from technology to policy & practice some domain-specific, some common to repositories a) Collection management: active curation & Linked relationships versions, of data | articles | learning materials Collections, 'see also' Curation as value-added linkage between items b) First point of public issue (availability); Take-down regimes 3. Institutional stewardship responsibility for content that 'we need' for research and education including data and other materials manufactured from within 'our world' * born-digital [and digitised] content 4. What of the (new) shared services imperative? Who does what, at what level/scale? ED1NA®

COAR: Confederation of Open Access Repositories • 48 members drawn largely from Europe, but including both JISC & CNI, and also EDINA (University of Edinburgh) • Work Plan for 2010/12, including 1. Advocacy on behalf of OA **and** repositories (Rs) [both together?] 2. Populating (OA) Rs 3. Best practice documents 4. Facilitate and ensure data interoperability of (across?) Rs 5. interoperability with other systems (such as CRIS systems) 6. Support national helpdesks 7. Guidance on how Rs will form essential elements for global einfrastructure 8. Promote R manager profession 9. Provide advice & guidance on suitable R infrastructure technologies 10.Global (meta)data store 11.Strategic partner other infrastructure-related initiatives worldwide ED1NA®



Feature	CONTENTdm	Digital Commons	DigiTool	DSpace	EPrints	EQUELLA Repository	Fedora	Islandora/ Fedora	IntraLibrary	Open Repository	Zentity
OAI-PMH:	current standard	current standard	current standard	current standard	current standard	current standard	current optional	current optional	current standard	current standard	current standard
OAI-ORE:				current optional	current standard	current standard	current optional	current optional			current standard
SWORD:	-		future standard	current standard	current standard	current standard	current standard	current 3rd party	current standard	current standard	current standard
SWAP:				current standard	current standard	current optional	current optional	current optional	-	current standard	
RDF:			•	•	current standard	current optional	current standard	current standard	-		
RoMEO Integration:					current 3rd party	current standard		current standard	-	future optional	
OAI-PMH Harvesting:			current standard	current standard		current standard	current 3rd party	current 3rd party			
Other.	Z39.50	÷	z39.50	WebDAV, REST, SRU/SRW, OpenSearch	U.		•	SRU/SRW	SRU/SRW	-	RDFS
yndication											
RSS:		RSS		RSS	RSS	RSS		RSS	RSS	RSS	RSS
Atom:			-	Atom	Atom	Atom		Atom	-		Atom

UK-CORR: UK Council of Research Repositories

individual rather than institutional, <u>UKCORR-discussion@jiscmail.ac.uk</u> UK has 'rich heterogeneous repository landscape' (C.Awre); lurk following comment from Dorothea Salo:

US mainly about OA full texts; UK mainly about ... serving research assessment! – Is there more to IRs than the REF: lots of bibliographic records & little full text?

- Should IRs only accept full text, not metadata only?
- 1. in absence of a CRIS, our IR had to do REF (Lancaster & Northampton)
- 2. was OA but then RAE2008, but should aim to include all (OU)
- 3. motive for IR was digital preservation, with different REF system; funder mandate compliance for OA; visibility via OA (Oxford/Bodleian)
- 4. RAE/REF is opportunity to engage institution-wide (Warwick)
- Advent of CRIS (which don't manage outputs well) may be opportunity for IRs to have role, including use of 'metadata only' as lever to obtain full text (Hull)

ED1NA®

- 6. REF & research management information allows IRs to be embedded as platform for OA (Southampton)
- RAE/REF has different goals to OA and IRs with low % of full text may undermine OA movement (Nottingham)

10